

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

£. c

€ .

 e^{ζ}

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,666	02/23/2004	Kohei Takamatsu	0941.69863	2332
7.	590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER	
Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			KAPADIA, VARSHA A	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Dr. Chicago, IL 60606			2651	

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,666	TAKAMATSU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Varsha A. Kapadia	2651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortice to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/12 This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise. 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims		·	
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 17-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	·		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	·		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa		

Art Unit: 2651

This office action is responsive to the amendment filed on 10/17/05.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 10/17/05. These drawings corrections are approved by the examiner.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hirano et al (2002/0196576 A1).

With regards to claims 1 and 17, Hirano et al discloses a method of recording eccentricity correction data for position control over a recording and reproducing head on a disk having at least one user data recording area (See abstract, figs. 2, 9 and 13 and disclosure thereof, col.1 paragraph [0006] and [0016] comprising steps of recording the eccentricity correction data at a location in a user data recording area (see fig. 9 element 32 and disclosure thereof) in consideration of a yaw angle (See paragraph [0041], wherein the eccentricity correction data location is different from a user data written location (see fig. 9 element 32 and paragraphs [0006] and [00045]).

With regards to claims 2-3, 18-19, Hirano et al disclose that the eccentricity correction data are recorded for all cylinders of the disk (See paragraph [0045]).

With regards to claims 6 and 7, see Hirano et al figs. 1-2 and 9 and disclosure thereof.

Art Unit: 2651

With regards to claim 8, Hirano et al discloses that the eccentricity correction data are recorded in a center area of each cylinder (See paragraph [0041] and [0007].

With regards to claim 20, the limitation recited in the apparatus claim 20 corresponds to the method steps recited in claims 1 and 17, therefore the rejection applied to claims 1 and 17 above in this office action is also applied to claim 20 for the same reasons of anticipation.

Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hirano et al in view of Shibata (2002/0126412).

With regards to claim 4, Hirano et al discloses the method of recording eccentricity correction data as described above in this office action but fails to specify that the initial eccentricity correction data are updated as needed during an operation on the disk recording medium.

Shibata, however disclose such capability (see fig. 7 elements A1 and A12 and disclosure thereof). Shibata further disclose that the eccentricity correction data are recorded for each frequency component individually (see paragraph [0078]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to modify the disclosure of Hirano et al with the above teachings from Shibata in order

Art Unit: 2651

to provide a capability of updating the initial eccentricity correction data as needed during the operation to obtain accurate head positioning control.

Response to Remarks

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 17-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Varsha A. Kapadia whose telephone number is (571) 272-7557. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Tue and Thurs. from 6:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached on 571 272 7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

VK

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600